

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/935,297 | 08/22/2001 | Dwayne Lacey | 100 007 CIP | 9672 |
| 25191 | 7590 05/21/2003 | | | |
| BURR & BROWN | | | EXAMINER | |
| | PO BOX 7068 SYRACUSE, NY 13261-7068 | | YU, JUSTINE ROMANG | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3764 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003 | 12 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/935,297 LACEY, DWAYNE Office Action Summary Examin r Art Unit Justine R Yu 3764 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh t with the correspond nce address --**Period** for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** 1)[🛛 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 March 2003. 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 3) closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) Claim(s) _____ is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 11, 8.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is responsive to the amendment filed on 3/3/03. As directed by the amendment, claims 1, 6, 7, 9, 11, 15, and 18 were amended, claim 5 was canceled, and claims 23-33 were added. Thus, claims 1-4 and 6-33 are presently pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 13-19, and 22-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kuznets et al (6,251,089).

Kuznets teaches a plurality of resilient fingers (271, 170) (figures 9a-b, 5a) having a transversely extending portion (i.e., 173, 177), a handle 50, and a vibrator 56 (figure 3a) for vibrating the fingers along direction 278 (column 12, lines 29-31). Figure 9a of Kuznets reference shows the space formed by the fingers having a circumference, which exceeds the circumference of the opening formed by the free ends of the fingers.

Regarding claims 3 and 10, Kuznets in figure 5a shows that the fingers could be wires.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/935,297 Page 3

Art Unit: 3764

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 11, 12, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuznets et al.

Kuznets does not explicitly disclose the fingers comprising electrically conductive material, i.e., copper wire. However, the feature of choosing a particular material, i.e., copper for the fingers is considered as an obvious design choice, since the copper is a well known material in the art.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/935,297

Art Unit: 3764

7. Claims 1-4 and 6-33 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-26 of U.S. Patent No. 6,309,365 (Lacey) in view of Eliachar et al (5,511,270).

Lacey has everything as claimed except for a vibrator. However, Eliachar teaches a scalp massager having a vibrator. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Lacey's device with a vibrator as taught by Eliachar, in order to provide automatic massaging action on to the scalp.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 3/3/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant on page 10 of the remarks argues that Kuznets does not disclose a vibrator. However, Kuznets in column 11, lines 50-57, column 12, lines 27-31, figures 5a, 6b, and 9a clearly teaches a vibrator moving the fingers in a reciprocal arc movement. Thus, Kuznets reference does disclose a vibrator.

The applicant on page 11, the fourth full paragraph of the remarks argues that there is no suggestion to combine the references. The examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, a skilled artisan could have readily appreciated

Application/Control Number: 09/935,297

Art Unit: 3764

that Lacey's head massager could be included with a vibrator in view of Eliachar teaching, in order to perform an automatic massaging action on user's scalp.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Winrow (5,054,504) and Blachly (3,585,990) are cited to show automatic scalp massagers.
- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3764

100 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justine R Yu whose telephone number is (703)308-2675. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 6:00Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi can be reached on (703)308-2698. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3590 for regular communications and (703)305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0858.

Sustine R Yu Primary Examiner Art Unit 3764

JY May 16, 2003